



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,818	09/20/2000	Chien-Ping Huang	U 013803-1	. 8042	
75	90 03/06/2003				
WILLIAM R. EVANS			EXAMINER		
c/o LADAS & 1 26 WEST 61ST	STREET	•	FARAHANI, DANA		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>Jv</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/665,818	HUANG, CHIEN-PING				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dana Farahani	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Th	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amounthe shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the main CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if	n			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in If the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	/)				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9 D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
_	When !	間分2020分				
10. Other:		\mathcal{O}				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER GY DELVETTO (1)				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims merely recite"said heat sink being exposed to ambient atmosphere". The referecne clearly reads on this limitation.